



Exploration Research and Technology Programs



# Attitude Determination and Control (ADC) Subsystem

8 June 2023

**Dr. Lee Jasper** 







- Attitude Determination and Control Subsystem (ADCS)
  - Also known as ADC, ACS, ADACS
  - "GNC" often means something different as it can imply orbit control
- Attitude Determination
  - Orientation defined with respect to a coordinate frame
  - Sensors provide input to estimation algorithms of where vehicle is pointing
- Attitude Control
  - <u>Actuators</u> and <u>control law</u> to angle vehicle where we want, or at the rate we want
- ADCS aims to figure out how the satellite is oriented in *inertial* space and then point to where ground operators desire





# Attitude Determination

- Hardware
  - Sensors device that measures a physical property
- Software (Determination/Estimation)
  - Software algorithm utilizing sensor information
  - Combines both dynamics/physics models of expected motion with the data from the sensors. Data filtering/estimation fits both of these sources of information together and `smartly' computes the most likely 'true' attitude
  - E.g. Least Squares Method, Kalman filtering, Bayesian estimation

# Attitude Control

- Hardware
  - Actuators device that contributes to the physical movement of the ADCS
- Software (Control)
  - An algorithm
  - Utilizes estimation + desired (commanded) attitude/rates and computes method for achieving desired behavior
  - E.g. B-dot, Proportional-Integral-Derivative, LQR, Lyapunov





- A diagram showing the major steps, and continuously computed nature, of ADCS
- Generally systems perform determination several times a second
  - Sensors measured at least as often
- Generally systems compute control several times a second
  - Actuators continuously driven









Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Public Affairs release approval AFRL-2023-2775





- Senses local magnetic field
- Typically (for CubeSats), an integrated three-axis MEMS
- (microelectromechanical systems) package that reports field strength along each sense axis

# Why is it used?

- In combination with torque rods (actuators), they can be used to reduce system momentum, important to keeping stable over long durations
- e.g. B-dot detumble & reaction wheel momentum reduction

- Can be damaged by exposure to excessive fields
- Can pick up residual fields from various components as well as dynamic fields such as reaction wheel motors
  - Require phasing with magnetorquers to avoid magnetorquer field dominating measurements





- Senses angular rates
- Can be purchased as integrated three-axis packages or discrete single-axis components
- Primary specifications are noise, bias, angular random walk
- Can be quite sensitive to temperature changes or mechanical stress

#### Why is it used?

- <u>KEY</u> device to propagate attitude motion of spacecraft
- Defines the body coordinate frame of the attitude system (and possibly the spacecraft)

#### Other Considerations

- Fundamental technologies are MEMS, fiber-optic gyro (FOG), and ring-laser
- Cubesats are typically MEMS, some smallsats use FOGs
- MEMS are cheap and low space/weight/power (SWaP)
- MEMS are quite noisy and drift relatively quickly, this impacts attitude filter design
- Many academic papers assume FOG- or RLG-level performance in their simulations
- MEMS gyros likely require modelling of bias drift for meaningful assessment
- Can buy your way out of some of this

+Ω\_





- Senses the direction and position of the sun with respect to the spacecraft
  - Can be used to point solar array face
- Accuracy ranges from ~0.5° (image sensor) to ~5° (photodiodes)
- Need some other sensor (or allow drift) while in eclipse



https://www.solar-mems.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/Solar-MEMS-nanoSSOC-A60.pdf

### Why is it used?

- Detect where the sun is to help point the solar panels
  - Safe Mode often uses these
- Simple sensor, easy to use and low likelihood to fail

- Many varieties exist
  - Discrete photodiodes: Simple intensity, are combined at the subsystem level to form an estimated sun vector
  - Photodiode pyramid: Differential intensities on the faces of the pyramid estimate a pointing error
  - Integrated IC: Report X/Y angles of the incident light source
  - Image Sensor: Project light source onto an image sensor to produce a vector





- Takes a picture of the star field and references to internal database to determine what part of the sky it is looking at
- Image provides both direction to identified centroid and rotation about that vector
- ~5 arc-sec cross-boresight and ~15 arcsec roll accuracy (at star tracker unit level) achievable in CubeSat hardware

#### Why is it used?

- Generally the most accurate sensor to provide attitude knowledge
- Secondary measurement of angular velocity (if slow enough rotation < 2°/s)</li>

#### Other Considerations

- Sensitive to stray light so it requires a baffle and/or large keepouts around any bright object
- More complex algorithms provide some resilience
- Sensitive to vehicle rotation as excess rotation smears stars, reducing accuracy and eventually breaking the algorithm
- Some use optical-flow algorithms to provide rate information
- Introduce AIT handling considerations (cleanliness)
- Some systems use two star trackers (oriented 90° apart)
- Allows correction of reduced accuracy in roll
- Higher availability (one star tracker can track while the other is blinded)
- Adds filter complexity to avoid jitter from switchover



https://cubesats.gsfc.nasa.gov/symposiums/2018/presentations/Day2/1115\_Hegel.pdf





- The Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver provides the satellite position and time on the satellite using GPS constellation (NavStar)
- Provides Navigation
- Provides Timing

#### Why is it used?

- When needing to point to Earth, ADCS needs to know where Earth center or locations on Earth are relative to the spacecraft
- Imaging
- Comm pointing
- Accurate Timing (events, precision of measurements across multiple systems)

- Generally only works in LEO; higher orbits have worse geometry
- Knowledge to three unique points (GPS satellites) allows solution for receiver (satellite) position
- In practice, four measurements are required to solve for receiver clock bias
- makes four total unknowns of  $t_R$ , X, Y ,Z
- Pointing:
- Site-tracking requires the satellite know where it is
- Pointing is time-sensitive
- Internal models (e.g. magnetic field) require absolute time



NovAtel OEM719 https://docs.novatel.com/OEM7/Content/Core\_Installation/OEM7700\_Overview.htm





- Not practical to build sensors at the sense-element level
  - e.g. don't build your own magnetometer
- System design is identifying COTS parts (e.g. a magnetometer IC) that meets requirements and then doing the design to integrate it
  - You may still be integrating several low level COTS parts into a single useful sensor
    - E.g. a photodiode pyramid is your design using COTS photodiodes
- Star trackers are quite complex for baffle design and algorithm development but student teams have succeeded before
  - COTS solutions are expensive
  - References exist for the algorithms
  - **Do not** underestimate this development effort
    - Start work as early as possible to identify actual scope/resourcing to assess feasibility

# If you can buy, buy!

Generally, trying to reduce ADCS costs by adding risk of building it yourself does not work out





# Actuators

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Public Affairs release approval AFRL-2023-2775

# **UNP** Passive Magnetics



# What does it do?

- Permanent magnet(s) that will align with Earth's magnetic field (e.g. a compass needle)
  - Requires some material that exhibits magnetic hysteresis to provide *damping* of oscillations about the magnetic field vector
- Applies an external torque; it does change net system angular momentum

# Why is it used?

• Extremely simple attitude control (passive) if rough magnetic alignment is sufficient

- Provides coarse alignment of bar magnet axis to local field (~15°) and no control of roll about that axis
  - Suitable for some magnetic field measurement missions (e.g. CSSWE mission)

# UNP Torque Coils/Rods



### What does it do?

- Electromagnets that interact with Earth's magnetic field to produce a torque
- Typically, three on spacecraft's orthogonal axes to provide best actuation
- Same fundamental physics as the bar magnet but vehicle can *actively* control field strength and direction

### Why is it used?

- Can achieve modest pointing accuracy
- Very useful for reducing stored momentum (reaction wheels or during a tumble)
- Very common to have reaction wheels AND torque rods as a combination set of actuators

#### Other Considerations

- Coils have an air-core
- Rods have a high-permittivity metallic core
  - Rods produce a stronger field for the same number of turns and loop area (vs. coil) but are more complex and less flexible
- Rods require degaussing
- Fairly coarse control (~5°) possible under the right circumstances
- Control authority dependent on applied current and local field strength
- Is not three-axis control since there is still no means of producing torque about the local magnetic field

14







- Generate torque by changing speed of a flywheel
- Accelerating the flywheel in one direction accelerates the vehicle the opposite direction

NanoAvionics RW Cluster tps://nanoavionics.com/cubesat-components/cubesatreaction-wheels-control-system-satbus-4rw/

#### Why is it used?

- High accuracy pointing of a spacecraft
- ~Tens of arcsec if well balanced

- Typically biased away from zero spin speed
- Reaction wheel failure mode is typically bearing failure at zero speed crossings
- RWs produce "internal" torque so no change on net system angular momentum
- Will eventually "saturate" if compensating for an external torque, requires some means (e.g. torque rods) to produce an external torque for the wheels to torque against to reduce flywheel speed
- Three (orthogonal) reaction wheels provide full coverage, four allows redundancy as well as nonzero-speed zero-net-momentum configurations for higher agility







# CUBLI Project at ETHZurich

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n\_6p-1J551Y





• Generate torque by gimballing a flywheel

TensorTech

https://tensortech.com.tw/reaction-sphere/

CMG

 Changing the spin axis, and therefore the angular momentum vector, of the flywheel produces a gyroscopic torque

# Why is it used?

- High accuracy (similar to reaction wheels), high torque capability
  - Allows for very fast slews or higher inertia systems

- Saturation behavior is alignment of all CMG axes
- Highest control authority of any actuator but also most complicated
  - Very few smallsat-scale options exist
- Conventional CMGs maintain a fixed flywheel speed, variable-speed CMGs can operate in a reaction wheel mode for singularity avoidance





- Use thrust to maneuver spacecraft (attitude and/or orbit)
- e.g. Space shuttle

### Why is it used?

- Chemical propulsion
- High thrust, low efficiency
- Electrical propulsion
- Low thrust, high efficiency
- Can be dual use for orbit and attitude maintenance

- While it is technically possible to use thrusters for attitude control this is not recommended
- Current state of SmallSat thrusters generally do not have enough reliability
- Limited mission life due to propellant usage
- Relatively coarse control since minimum impulse bit may be large and firing-to-firing consistency may be poor
- Even large spacecraft only use ADCS thrusters for momentum management and stick with reaction wheels for primary control







- Magnetic coils/rods are easy to make
  - Easy mechanical design for the structure, equations for determining loop count/area are well understood
  - Need some winding jig
- Reaction wheels are tricky but possible
  - Flywheel has to be carefully balanced
  - Bearing design must survive vibe
  - Student teams have built and tested wheels before, though flight data is limited
  - Decent COTS options for reasonable costs (for student resources)
- Don't even think about building CMGs or thrusters (at least not for primary ADCS)
- All *active* control requires control algorithms / software. Design can be easy but the design, coding, testing, verification is a significant work effort and is challenging for grad students let alone undergraduates
  - Be careful here. Its possible; but staff it correctly!





# Requirements





- Fundamental ADCS parameters
  - Absolute pointing accuracy: "How well it is pointed?"
  - Absolute pointing knowledge: "How well do we know how it is pointed?"
  - Pointing Stability: "How well does it stay on target?"
  - Jitter: "How shaky is it?"
  - Slew Rate: "How fast can it point to a new target?"





# • Drivers:

- Payload
  - Pointing accuracy to put sensor on target
  - Pointing knowledge to characterize response and geolocate data
  - Optics will have a maximum allowable jitter (preventing blurring)
- Communications
  - Pointing requirements for comm system to ground station can vary (S-band vs UHF)
    - May drive pointing if laser comm
  - Tracking a ground site requires up to ~1.5°/s slew rate (ISS orbit)
  - Nadir-track is 0.06°/s slew rate
- Power
  - Need to point solar panels at the sun
  - Solar arrays generate less power as incidence angle decreases
  - Very coarse requirement (3% loss at 14° error)
- CONOPS
  - Sufficient slew rate to allow switching modes in acceptable time
  - Need to factor in detumble and the time that takes / how often will it occur





- Determine top level drivers
  - "I need to point the camera to within 0.5° of the target"
- Determine derived requirements
  - e.g. Error stack to realize 0.5° absolute pointing might allocate 0.1° for knowledge
- Sanity check values
  - Do solutions that meet those requirements exist? Can existing components be stitched together with new code to meet requirements?
- Check other subsystem / system needs for pointing
  - Fields of view
  - Alternate pointing requirements, ADCS power draw vs. generation, etc.

Important: write requirements for either what your mission needs & see which sensor fits that need OR reduce mission capability to fit performance





# **Analyses and Testing**





- Pointing budget
  - What do you actually need? What system gets you there?
  - See CarSat pointing budget example from Mission Design Course as an example
- Disturbance torques
  - Check magnitude of solar radiation pressure, atmospheric drag, residual magnetic, and gravity gradient torques to available control authority
    - If constant relative direction, then need to be able to correct with momentum management system
    - If periodic, then need sufficient reaction wheel momentum capacity to buffer (it'll balance out)





- Very, very hard to know how ADCS will work through ground testing alone. Simulations will help determine system performance even if its an integrated COTS
- Expect attitude knowledge to be 5-10x better than your control capability
  - Because your knowledge is an input to the control, you can never control better than the knowledge
- Generally Runge-Kutta methods (RK4 or RK45) are good enough for your attitude dynamics and control integration
  - Orbit dynamics and attitude dynamics happen on different time scales. This can cause an integrator issue where the time step is driven to be very small increasing run-time for simulations.
  - To improve do a run-time analysis of computationally expensive areas of the code, parallel processing (matlab has toolboxes for this), and look into "integration stiffness" which might give ideas on fixes





# Polarity

- Verifying that all sensor and actuator polarities are correct is absolutely critical
  - Reaction wheels spin the correct direction, torque rod fields are the expected polarity, gyroscope axes match vehicle axes, etc.
  - Incorrect polarities are like riding your bike with your hands crossed
- Verifying all sensors are responding with reasonable values
  - Verifies that sensors aren't damage or doesn't have interference with other components

Hardware in the Loop

• COTS systems have simulations to help with verification of hardware functionality (e.g. RDP for BCT's XACT) but are not an end-to-end test









- System level requirements specify overall performance, not how to achieve it
  - Subsystem requirements must allocate portions of the overall requirement to the contributing pieces, e.g. sensor level specification, alignment tolerances, etc
  - Analysis must show that the combined uncertainty of the contributing factors meets the requirement
  - Tightly coupled design process between hardware and software
    - Final system performance is what the control law and attitude determination filter are able to do with the provided hardware specifications
- Stackup Example: Pointing a payload image sensor at a specified target
  - Base knowledge error: How accurate the star tracker is
  - Alignment error: How well the relative orientation of the star tracker image sensor and payload image sensor is known
  - Thermal shift: How much the relative orientation changes as a function of temperature
  - Filter performance: What the final state estimate accuracy is given measurement accuracy and density





- Many instruments will have keepouts
  - Specified region around an object, typically the sun, that the instrument should not look at
  - May be for functionality (e.g. not washing out stars) or damage (e.g. not overheating the sensor)
- There is no way to unconditionally guarantee keepouts on a CubeSat
  - ADCS operations within a specified timer of launch vehicle separation are typically prohibited
  - CubeSat ADCS are not sufficiently reliable to guarantee pointing at all times
  - → Avoid sensors that will be damaged if keepouts are violated, if they are absolutely required then they need a shutter
    - Some probability argument on how long it takes to damage the sensor, e.g. highly unlikely to end up staring directly at the sun for an extended period





- How the various satellite components are aligned has major ADCS implications
  - Where does satisfying one pointing constraint place the other components?
    - Does pointing the solar panels at the sun put the star tracker on the earth? Does pointing the antenna and the payload generate no power?
    - Do mode transitions require significant maneuvers?





- ADCS has a significant impact on power system design and the power budget
  - ADCS is generally one of the higher-power subsystems
  - Actuators have highly variable power draws, e.g. reaction wheels may typically draw 400mA but can draw up to 2A for ~10s
    - This requires a power system that is efficient at normal draw but can handle spikes
    - How this is modelled in the power budget can significantly affect results
    - Control scheme will affect this profile
- ADCS produces a lot of data, at least internally
  - Effectively debugging the system may require high-rate telemetry from a number of sensors
  - Consideration for orbit, having a high-rate EGSE interface can be very nice





- While intentional magnetic control works it is also possible to inadvertently create magnetic torques
  - Current flow on solar panels creates a field, commonly see string directions alternated to balance this
  - Steels are variously magnetizable, 316 and A286 are preferred over 18-8 and alloy steels for fasteners for this reason (as well as strength)
  - Vehicle (or certain subassemblies) may be "degaussed" to reduce residual magnetic fields





- ADCS is very complex and requires detailed system knowledge but also detailed electrical, algorithm, and dynamics
  - If you can buy systems that already work, do this! (Reduce risk by using money)
- You always need to understand what pointing is required of your system
- You always need to understand what pointing constraints (FOVs) exist
- You always need to do some level of testing to ensure the system is working together
  - Polarity, sensor functionality, etc.
- Seek out UNP, professors, etc. for help here!